

**SBC/Ameritech Condition 27 Collaborative  
Requested Interconnection Provisions**

**Appendix 4**

Tracking #	State	State Proceeding/ Docket Number	CLEC Name	Article, Appendix or Section Desired	State Commission Approval Date	CLEC's Description of Item	Requesting Carrier	Negotiated	Technical Feasibility	Lawfulness	Policy	Ameritech Comments	CLEC Comments
1	CA	AL 18967	ACCELERATED CONNECTIONS, INC	2.1-2.1.13.8	09/24/97	Complete Unbundled Loops Section	Rhythms Links Inc. (Jo Gentry Craig Brown)	YES	OK	OK	OK	Unbundled loops currently available Contract Expires 9/24/2000 Refers to California OSSs and Tariffs ILL Prices already established for some arrangements	Pacific Bell agreed to provide five types of unbundled Links, including 2-wire digital ISDN/xDSL capable links. These links are provided without special construction or conditioning charges.
2	CA	AL 18967	ACCELERATED CONNECTIONS, INC.	11.2 – 11.2.3	09/24/97	Shared Space Collocation	Rhythms Links Inc. (Jo Gentry Craig Brown)	YES	OK	OK	OK	Shared Space Collocation Already Available Contract Expires 9/24/2000	Pacific Bell agreed to provide shared space collocation arrangements under which any of the carriers sharing a collocation space may arrange directly with the ILEC for interconnection facilities and unbundled network elements.
3	MO	Contract: Appendix Collocation – Missouri	AT&T	Section 2.0, para 2.1	11/07/97	Section 2.0 Allocation of Collocated Space within Eligible Structures, para 2.1	AT&T (D. Noorani)	YES	OK	OK	OK	Collocation currently available in Illinois	SWBT defines Eligible Structures for Collocation much more broadly than Ameritech. SWBT includes any structures that house SWBT facilities including CEVs, Huts and Cabinets etc.
4	OH	96-888-TP-ARB	MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc.	Section 4.7 Schedule 1.2	05/22/97	Definition of Local Traffic Provision for Symmetrical Rates	ICG Telecom Group (Adrienne Leonard)	YES	OK	OK	OK	Expires 3/31/2000 It is our position that the rates, terms and conditions relating to reciprocal compensation in an Interconnection Agreement are pricing terms for interconnection. Because they are pricing terms, they are not available for porting under	ICG does not feel the application it is interested in was accurately represented in this spreadsheet. ICG plans to adopt the Local Traffic Definition found in Schedule 1.2, page 8 of the Ameritech-Ohio/MCI Agreement so it will be compensated for ISP tra
5	TX	16226	AT&T Contract	UNE, Attachment 6, Section 2.8	12/19/96	Permanent UNE Platform - Non- separation of existing combined network elements.	AT&T	YES	OK	OK	OK	Already available as part of the "platform" in Illinois	AT&T desires the ability to order network elements that when currently connected and ordered together will not be physically disconnected or separated in any other fashion except for technical reasons or if requested by AT&T. Specifically, AT&T desires t
6	WI	6720-TI-131 6023-TI-100	US Xchange	Article IV Section 4.8, pg 16-17	07/17/97	Symmetrical Reciprocal Compensation	MCI Worldcom	YES	OK	OK	OK	It is our position that the rates, terms and conditions relating to reciprocal compensation in an Interconnection Agreement are pricing terms for interconnection. Because they are pricing terms, they are not available for porting under Paragraph 27 of the	MCIW desires a truly "symmetrical" reciprocal compensation rate so that where traffic is originated on Ameritech's network and terminated on MCIW's network, Ameritech will pay MCIW the exact same rate that MCIW pays to Ameritech. Since MCIW switches cur

**SBC/Ameritech Condition 27 Collaborative  
Requested Interconnection Provisions**

**Appendix 4**

Tracking #	State	State Proceeding/ Docket Number	CLEC Name	Article, Appendix or Section Desired	State Commission Approval Date	CLEC's Description of Item	Requesting Carrier	Negotiated	Technical Feasibility	Lawfulness	Policy	Ameritech Comments	CLEC Comments
7	WI	6720-TI-141 7133-TI-101	US West Enterprise America, Inc.	Section 4.7, et al.	04/03/98	Provides for symmetrical reciprocal compensation.	MCI WorldCom	YES	OK	OK	OK	It is our position that the rates, terms and conditions relating to reciprocal compensation in an Interconnection Agreement are pricing terms for interconnection. Because they are pricing terms, they are not available for porting under Paragraph 27 of the	MCIW desires a truly "symmetrical" reciprocal compensation rate so that where traffic is originated on Ameritech's network and terminated on MCIW's network, Ameritech will pay MCIW the exact same rate that MCIW pays to Ameritech. Since MCIW switches cur
8	OH	98-63-TP-NAG	Frontier Local Services, Inc	Section 4.7, et al.	04/10/98	Provides for symmetrical reciprocal compensation.	MCI WorldCom	YES	OK	OK	OK	It is our position that the rates, terms and conditions relating to reciprocal compensation in an Interconnection Agreement are pricing terms for interconnection. Because they are pricing terms, they are not available for porting under Paragraph 27 of the	MCIW desires a truly "symmetrical" reciprocal compensation rate so that where traffic is originated on Ameritech's network and terminated on MCIW's network, Ameritech will pay MCIW the exact same rate that MCIW pays to Ameritech. Since MCIW switches cur
9	CA	AL 18967	ACCELERATED CONNECTIONS, INC	Appendix F, 2.	09/24/97	Unbundled Interoffice Transmission Facilities (Transport)	Rhythms Links Inc. (Jo Gentry Craig Brown)	YES	OK	Problem	Problem	Unbundled Interoffice Facility including Shared Transport currently available in Illinois Contract language appears Inconsistent with UNE Remand Order Common/Shared Transport inconsistent with approach Specified in Condition 28 of ICC's Merger Order Contr	Pacific Bell agreed to provide to Rhythms unbundled interoffice transmission facilities between wire centers, regardless of whether Rhythms is collocated in those wire centers.
10	TX	16226	AT&T Contract	UNE, Attachment 6, Section 5.1	12/19/96	Permanent UNE Platform - Local Switching Element - features, functions, and capabilities	AT&T	YES	OK	Problem	OK	OS/DA Contract language appears Inconsistent with UNE Remand Order Currently Available in Illinois Contract Expires 1/22/2000	To obtain a fully functioning, permanent UNE Platform offering which is identical to the UNE Platform offering currently available to CLECs in Texas. The currently available shared transport and/or platform offerings are not consistent with the permanent
11	TX	16226	AT&T Contract	UNE, Attachment 6, Section 6.1.1	12/19/96	Permanent UNE Platform - Tandem Switching Appendix Pricing UNE – Schedule of Prices	AT&T	YES	OK	OK	Problem	Tandem Switching currently Available in Illinois Pricing Established Common/Shared Transport inconsistent with approach Specified in Condition 28 of ICC's Merger Order	To obtain a fully functioning, permanent UNE Platform offering which is identical to the UNE Platform offering currently available to CLECs in Texas. The currently available shared transport and/or platform offerings are not consistent with the permanent
12	CA	AL18797	Covad Communications	Entire Contract	06/25/97	Entire Contract	Covad	YES	Problem	Problem	Problem	See the Supplemental Analysis of the Covad California Agreement document for section by section responses to this request.	

**SBC/Ameritech Condition 27 Collaborative  
Requested Interconnection Provisions**

**Appendix 4**

Tracking #	State	State Proceeding/ Docket Number	CLEC Name	Article, Appendix or Section Desired	State Commission Approval Date	CLEC's Description of Item	Requesting Carrier	Negotiated	Technical Feasibility	Lawfulness	Policy	Ameritech Comments	CLEC Comments
13	TX	Appendix: Poles, Conduits, & Right of Ways 16226	AT&T Communications of the SW, Inc.	10.02(a)	12/19/96	Cost sharing of modifications to facilities: Capacity Expansions	AT&T (D. Noorani)	NO	—	—	—	Arbitration Award Consolidated Dockets 16189, 16196, 16226, 16285 and 16290 Nov. 7, 1996, Page 11, Item #16. Inconsistent with FCC's 10/26/99 Ruling, Par. 104	SWBT has agreed to establish method to reimburse AT&T on a pro rata basis for a facility paid for by AT&T that is later used by SWBT or other Telecom providers.
14	TX	16226	AT&T Contract	UNE, Attachment 6, Section 2.4	12/19/96	Permanent UNE Platform Access to UNEs, including combinations of Network Elements	AT&T	NO	—	—	—	Arbitration Award Consolidated Dockets 16189, 16196, 16226, 16285, 16290, 16455, 17065, 17579, 17587and 17781, November 24, 1997, Amendment and Clarification of Arbitration Award, Page 4, Section III. Already available as part of the "platform" in Illinois	To obtain a fully functioning, permanent UNE Platform offering which is identical to the UNE Platform offering currently available to CLECs in Texas. The currently available shared transport and/or platform offerings are not consistent with the permanent
15	TX	16226	AT&T Contract	UNE, Attachment 6, Section 2.4.1	12/19/96	Permanent UNE Platform - Performance Criteria	AT&T	NO	—	—	—	AT&T-SWBT Interconnection Agreement Phase 1 Arbitration Award, September 1997, Section 2.4.1 ICC Merger Order already specifies Texas Performance Parameters	To obtain a fully functioning, permanent UNE Platform offering which is identical to the UNE Platform offering currently available to CLECs in Texas. The currently available shared transport and/or platform offerings are not consistent with the permanent
16	TX	16226	AT&T Contract	UNE, Attachment 6, Section 2.5	12/19/96	Permanent UNE Platform - Demarcation Point	AT&T	NO	—	—	—	AT&T-SWBT Interconnection Agreement Phase 1 Arbitration Award, September 1997, Section 2.5 Some aspects of this section appear inconsistent with UNE Remand	To obtain a fully functioning, permanent UNE Platform offering which is identical to the UNE Platform offering currently available to CLECs in Texas. The currently available shared transport and/or platform offerings are not consistent with the permanent
17	TX	16226	AT&T Contract	UNE, Attachment 6, Section 2.22	12/19/96	Permanent UNE Platform - Unbundled Network Elements defined	AT&T	NO	—	—	—	Arbitration Award Consolidated Dockets 16189, 16196, 16226, 16285 and 16290 Nov. 7, 1996, Page 6, Section III A., Item #1.	To obtain a fully functioning, permanent UNE Platform offering which is identical to the UNE Platform offering currently available to CLECs in Texas. The currently available shared transport and/or platform offerings are not consistent with the permanent
18	TX	16226	AT&T Contract	UNE, Attachment 6, Section 5.1.1	12/19/96	Permanent UNE Platform - Local Switching Element – call origination and completion capabilities	AT&T	NO	—	—	—	AT&T-SWBT Interconnection Agreement Phase 1 Arbitration Award, September 1997, Section 5.1.1 - 5.2.2.2.1.1 Common/Shared Transport inconsistent with approach Specified in Condition 28 of ICC's Merger Order	To obtain a fully functioning, permanent UNE Platform offering which is identical to the UNE Platform offering currently available to CLECs in Texas. The currently available shared transport and/or platform offerings are not consistent with the permanent

**SBC/Ameritech Condition 27 Collaborative  
Requested Interconnection Provisions**

**Appendix 4**

Tracking #	State	State Proceeding/ Docket Number	CLEC Name	Article, Appendix or Section Desired	State Commission Approval Date	CLEC's Description of Item	Requesting Carrier	Negotiated	Technical Feasibility	Lawfulness	Policy	Ameritech Comments	CLEC Comments
19	TX	16226	AT&T Contract	UNE, Attachment 6, Section 11.1	12/19/96	Permanent UNE Platform - Cross Connect defined	AT&T	NO	—	—	—	Arbitration Award Consolidated Dockets 16189, 16196, 16226, 16285, 16290, 16455, 17065, 17579, 17587 and 17781, November 24, 1997, Amendment and Clarification of Arbitration Award, Page 4, Section III. Already available as part of the "platform" in Illinois	To obtain a fully functioning, permanent UNE Platform offering which is identical to the UNE Platform offering currently available to CLECs in Texas. The currently available shared transport and/or platform offerings are not consistent with the permanent
20	TX	16226	AT&T Contract	UNE, Attachment 6, Section 11.3	12/19/96	Permanent UNE Platform - Availability of cross connects to the cage associated with unbundled local loops with or without automated testing.	AT&T	NO	—	—	—	Arbitration Award Consolidated Dockets 16189, 16196, 16226, 16285 and 16290 Nov. 7, 1996, Page 6, Section III A., Item #1.	To obtain a fully functioning, permanent UNE Platform offering which is identical to the UNE Platform offering currently available to CLECs in Texas. The currently available shared transport and/or platform offerings are not consistent with the permanent
21	CT	SNET Collocation Services Handbook	AT&T Communications	Sec. 2, Pg 11-12		Adjacent Space Collocation	AT&T (D. Noorani)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative "Adjacent Space" collocation is currently available in Illinois where physical collocation space is not available.	SNET provides Adjacent Space Collocation as a standard Physical Collocation offering up to 200 cable Ft. from CO. In Ameritech's offer Adjacent Space Collocation is always ICB.
22	CA	PacBell Collocation Handbook	AT&T Communications	Sec 3.4.1.1		Removal of obsolete and unused equipment.	AT&T (D. Noorani)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative No objection to negotiating this type of provision into an agreement but specific language changes would appear to be warranted.	Pacbell agrees to remove obsolete and unused equipment to meet FORECASTED demand (in advance of an actual request from Collocator).
23	Pac Bell Territ ory		Pacific Bell: Acceptance Testing of ISDN/xDSL Capable Loops	Pacific Bell Process for Cooperative Testing		Pacific Bell Process for Cooperative Testing.	Rhythms Links Inc. (Jo Gentry/Craig Brown)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision. Outside the scope of the collaborative No objection to negotiating this type of provision into an agreement but specific language changes would appear to be warranted.	Pacific Bell offers acceptance testing of ISDN/xDSL capable loops.
24	Pac Bell Territ ory		Pacific Bell: Re- Use of Drop: Pacific Bell Orders	Re-Use of Drop: Pacific Bell Orders		Re-Use of Drop: Pacific Bell Orders	Rhythms Links Inc. (Jo Gentry/Craig Brown)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative No objection to negotiating this type of provision into an agreement but specific language changes would appear to be warranted.	In lieu of having the customer dig a trench, Pacific Bell offers to disconnect an existing ISDN line, or other existing service the customer is willing to disconnect, to re-use the drop from the pedestal to the MPOE once the Pacific Bell loop is complete

**SBC/Ameritech Condition 27 Collaborative  
Requested Interconnection Provisions**

**Appendix 4**

Tracking #	State	State Proceeding/ Docket Number	CLEC Name	Article, Appendix or Section Desired	State Commission Approval Date	CLEC's Description of Item	Requesting Carrier	Negotiated	Technical Feasibility	Lawfulness	Policy	Ameritech Comments	CLEC Comments
25	Pac Bell Territ ory		Pacific Bell: Re- Use of Facilities: Pacific Bell Orders	Re-Use of Facilities: Pacific Bell Orders		Re-Use of Facilities: Pacific Bell Orders	Rhythms Links Inc. (Jo Gentry/Craig Brown)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative No objection to negotiating this type of provision into an agreement but specific language changes would appear to be warranted. but some specific language changes would appear to be warren	Pacific Bell offers specific procedures for migration of customer from an ISDN line provided to Pacific Bell to a DSL line provided by another carrier.
26	Pacifi c Bell/S WBT (Texas )		Pacific Bell/SWBT (Texas)	Pacific Bell /SWBT (Texas) Coordinated Hot Cut Procedures		Pacific Bell / SWBT (Texas) Coordinated Hot Cut Procedures: SWBT and AT&T have developed an operations arrangement targeted at reducing intra-office connectivity and number assignment failures.	AT&T	No	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative	Based upon experience gained with SWBT and other LECs, failures during coordinated conversions of unbundled loops with local number portability ("Hot Cuts") are typically attributable to one or more of the following three areas:* Problems with the existin
27	TX	Project 16251	SWBT's Revised Physical and Virtual Collocation Tariffs	Total document and all revisions	10/29/99		Rhythms Links Inc. (Jo Gentry Craig Brown)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative Physical and Virtual Collocation Currently Available No objection to negotiating this type of provision into an agreement but specific language changes would appear to be warranted.	Pacific Bell offers collocation terms and conditions that comply with the <i>Advanced Services Order</i> .
28	TX	ICA-TX-(T2A) & Access Services Tariff Sec 25 & 26	ALL	Interconnector's Collocation Services Handbook for Physical Collocation in Texas, Issue 1.2, 08/12/1999		Section 1B - SWBT Will Provide the Following Forms of Physical Collocation, paragraphs 1.B.1, 1.B.3, 1.B.6.	AT&T (D. Noorani)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative Physical and Virtual Collocation Currently Available No objection to negotiating this type of provision into an agreement but specific language changes would appear to be warranted.	Section 1B - paras 1.B.1, 1.B.3, 1.B.6. Caged Collocation in 50 sq. ft. increments. In a shared Cage each collocator orders directly from SWBT. SWBT agrees to any other Physical Collocation Agreement proven Technically feasible on other ILEC premises, SW
29	TX	ICA-TX-(T2A) & Access Services Tariff Sec 25 & 26	ALL	Interconnector's Collocation Services Handbook for Physical Collocation in Texas, Issue 1.2, 08/12/1999		Section 4 - Space Provisioning, paragraphs 4.A.1, 4.A.4, 4.B.2, 4.C.1, 4.C.3, 4.E.4, 4.F, 4.G.1.	AT&T (D. Noorani)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative Physical and Virtual Collocation Currently Available No objection to negotiating this type of provision into an agreement but specific language changes would appear to be warranted.	Section 4 - Space Provisioning, paragraphs 4.A.1, 4.G.1 Allows for Physical & Virtual Collocation in CEVs , Cabinet & Huts. 4.A.4 Allows any technically feasible method with onus on SWBT to justify denial. 4.B.2 Caged Collocation in 50 sq. ft. increment

**SBC/Ameritech Condition 27 Collaborative  
Requested Interconnection Provisions**

**Appendix 4**

Tracking #	State	State Proceeding/ Docket Number	CLEC Name	Article, Appendix or Section Desired	State Commission Approval Date	CLEC's Description of Item	Requesting Carrier	Negotiated	Technical Feasibility	Lawfulness	Policy	Ameritech Comments	CLEC Comments
30	TX	ICA-TX-(T2A) & Access Services Tariff Sec 25 & 26	ALL	Interconnector's Collocation Services Handbook for Physical Collocation in Texas, Issue 1.2, 08/12/1999		Section 5 - Engineering, paragraph 5.B.3.	AT&T (D. Noorani)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative Physical and Virtual Collocation Currently Available No objection to negotiating this type of provision into an agreement but specific language changes would appear to be warranted.	Section 5 - Engineering, paragraph 5.B.3. SWBT cannot deny collocation of equipment based on reliability.
31	TX	ICA-TX-(T2A) & Access Services Tariff Sec 25 & 26	ALL	Interconnector's Collocation Services Handbook for Physical Collocation in Texas, Issue 1.2, 08/12/1999		Section 6 -- Occupancy, paragraph 6.F.1.	AT&T (D. Noorani)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative Physical and Virtual Collocation Currently Available No objection to negotiating this type of provision into an agreement but specific language changes would appear to be warranted.	Section 6 - Occupancy, paragraph 6.F.1. Allows Collocator 180 days to place Operational equipment in Space; allows for extensions of the 180 day period and requires written notice (10 Business days) from SWBT to invoke termination.
32	TX	ICA-TX-(T2A) & Access Services Tariff Sec 25 & 26	ALL	Interconnector's Collocation Services Handbook for Physical Collocation in Texas, Issue 1.2, 08/12/1999		Section 3 - Space Availability Determination, paragraphs 3.B.1 & 3.B.4	AT&T (D. Noorani)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative Physical and Virtual Collocation Currently Available No objection to negotiating this type of provision into an agreement but specific language changes would appear to be warranted.	Section 3 - Space Availability Determination, paragraphs 3.B.1 & 3.B.4. When denying request due to space constraint SWBT agrees to respond within 10 days of application with an Availability Report ( Ameritech requires a written request for the Report f
33	FCC	FCC CC 96-98	Third Report and Order and Fourth Further notice of Proposed Rulemaking, November 5, 1999	Paragraph 196- 199		Dark Fiber	Rhythms Links Inc. (Jo Gentry Craig Brown)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative Physical and Virtual Collocation Currently Available No objection to negotiating this type of provision into an agreement but specific language changes would appear to be warranted.	Requires Ameritech and other ILECs to provide dark fiber.
34	TX	ICA-TX-(T2A)	Generic - Allegiance	Appendix Location Routing Number - PNP Section 3.0, pg 3- 6	10/28/99	10 Digit Trigger	MCI WorldCom	No	—	—	—	This contract was ported from the Texas T2A agreement which was not freely negotiated and thus not portable.	MCIW would like to provide its customers with a better LNP process that will eliminate the risk of important calls being dropped for an undetermined amount of time after porting a customer from Ameritech's network over to MCIW's network. As it stands tod

**SBC/Ameritech Condition 27 Collaborative  
Requested Interconnection Provisions**

**Appendix 4**

Tracking #	State	State Proceeding/ Docket Number	CLEC Name	Article, Appendix or Section Desired	State Commission Approval Date	CLEC's Description of Item	Requesting Carrier	Negotiated	Technical Feasibility	Lawfulness	Policy	Ameritech Comments	CLEC Comments
35	TX	ICA-TX-(T2A)	Generic - Allegiance	Appendix UNE Section 14.7, pg 66-68	10/28/99	Enhanced Extended Loop (EEL)	MCI WorldCom AT&T	No	—	—	—	This contract was ported from the Texas T2A agreement which was not freely negotiated and thus not portable.	MCIW provides local service to most of its customers by purchasing Special Access T1's from Ameritech out of the Special Access Tariff. MCIW believes that the Enhanced Extended Link (EEL) combination of unbundled loop and unbundled transport should have
36	TX	ICA-TX-(T2A) & Access Services Tariff Sec 25 & 26	ALL	Interconnector's Collocation Services Handbook for Physical Collocation in Texas, Issue 1.2, 08/12/1999		Section 1A - General, Paragraph 1.A.4	AT&T (D. Noorani)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative	Section 1A - GENERAL, paragraph 1.A.4. Gives Collocator sole responsibility for design, engineering, testing, performance & maintenance of equipment in the Collocation space (with the exception of Power Panel).
37	TX	ICA-TX-(T2A)	ALL	ALL		ALL	Rhythms Links Inc. (Jo Gentry Craig Brown)	NO	—	—	—	Not a contract provision, Outside the scope of the collaborative	